UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re

No. 13-53846

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,

Chapter 9

Debtor.

HON. STEVEN W. RHODES

ATTACHMENT

APPELLEE STATE OF MICHIGAN'S DESIGNATION OF ITEMS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE RECORD ON APPEAL

Design-	Docket	Filing	Description
ation	#	Date	
11.	497	8/19/2013	Objection filed by interested party Detroit Retired City Employees Association, Shirley V. Lightsey, Retired Detroit Police and Fire Fighters Association, Donald Taylor, Creditors Shirley V. Lightsey, Donald Taylor (Attachments: Index of Exhibits; Exhibit A – Declaration of Shirley V. Lightsey in Support of Consolidated Objection of the Retiree Association Parties to Eligibility; Exhibit B – Declaration of Donald Taylor in Support of Consolidated Objection of the Retiree Association Parties to Eligibility)

Docket #1704 Date Filed: 11/13/2013

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION (DETROIT)

In re:		
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN		Chapter 9 Case No. 13-53846-swr
CITT OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN		
		Hon. Stephen W. Rhodes
Debtor.		•
	/	

BRIEF OF THE RETIREE ASSOCIATION PARTIES ON GOOD FAITH ISSUES

The Retired Detroit Police & Fire Fighters Association ("RDPFFA"), Donald Taylor, individually, and as President of the RDPFFA, and the Detroit Retired City Employees Association ("DRCEA") and Shirley V. Lightsey, individually, and as President of the DRCEA (collectively "Retiree Association Parties"), through their counsel, Lippitt O'Keefe, PLLC and Silverman & Morris, P.L.L.C., file this brief on good-faith issues in connection with eligibility issues pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 109(c).

1. The Retiree Association Parties agree with briefs already filed by objecting parties and anticipate that they will agree with the arguments made by other parties who disputed the City's eligibility under § 109(c). In an effort to conserve resources, and because they anticipate that other objecting parties will file persuasive briefs, the Retiree Association Parties have not prepared their own comprehensive brief on this topic.

- 2. The evidence showed, as the Retiree Association Parties discussed in their summation, that the City failed to negotiate with significant classes or groups of creditors, including in particular the City's retired employees. Those retirees were represented by the DRCEA (as to general retirees) and the RDPFFA (as to police and fire retirees). Negotiations with the DRCEA and RDPFFA were possible.
- 3. Section 109(c) of the Bankruptcy Code requires such negotiations for important reasons. Those reasons include a respect for local democracy and a desire that chapter 9 be a last resort. Chapter 9 should not be used, as the City is attempting to use it, as a complete substitute for the resolution of the City's financial challenges under state law when negotiations outside of chapter 9 are not first attempted in good faith.
- 4. The constitutionality of chapter 9 has been called into question. Whatever the resolution of that constitutional challenge, chapter 9 is nevertheless controversial for subjecting a subdivision of a state to a degree of federal control. This case is controversial for the additional reason that the positions taken by the Emergency Manager conflict with the Pensions Clause of the Michigan Constitution. Congress, in its wisdom, imposed upon a municipality the eligibility requirements of § 109(c). The controversy generated by this case heightens the need for compliance with those requirements.
- 5. The City's rush to chapter 9, under the Emergency Manager whose appointment displaced local democracy, bypassed the process of negotiation mandated under § 109(c). Although the City has argued that it would be disruptive for the case to

be dismissed, § 109(c) mandates that the case be dismissed. The requirement that the City first negotiate with its creditors is too important to be sacrificed to expediency. The requirement of good-faith negotiation was not met in this case no matter what definition of "good faith" is applied.

WHEREFORE, the Retiree Association Parties request that the Court dismiss the case so that the City will be required to engage in good-faith negotiations should it desire to seek relief under chapter 9.

Respectfully submitted,

SILVERMAN & MORRIS, P.L.L.C.

By: /s/ Thomas R<u>. Morris</u> Thomas R. Morris (P39141) Karin F. Avery (P45364) 30500 Northwestern Hwy., Suite 200 Farmington Hills, Michigan 48334 Tel: (248) 539-1330; Fax: (248) 539-1355 morris@silvermanmorris.com avery@silvermanmorris.com

LIPPITT O'KEEFE, PLLC

Brian D. O'Keefe (P39603) Ryan C. Plecha (P71957) 370 East Maple Road, 3rd Floor Birmingham, Michigan 48009 Tel: (248) 646-8292; Fax: (248) 646-8375

bokeefe@lippittokeefe.com

rplecha@lippittokeefe.com

Attorneys for the Retiree Association Parties